

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RIO PROPERTIES, INC., a Nevada
corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

STEWART ANNOYANCES, LTD.,
a California corporation; RODERICK
STEWART, an individual; and
ARMSTRONG HIRSCH
JACKOWAY TYERMAN &
WERTHEIMER, a California
professional corporation,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:01-cv-0459-LRH-PAL

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
EXTENDING TIME**


(FIRST REQUEST)

Plaintiff Rio Properties, Inc. ("Rio") and defendants Stewart Annoyances, Ltd. and Roderick Stewart (collectively the "Stewart defendants") hereby stipulate to extend the due date for Rio's Reply to the Stewart Defendants' February 12, 2008 Opposition (Dkt. No. 498) to Rio's January 16, 2008 Motion for Judgment As a Matter of Law (Dkt. No. 483) a period of eight days, from Wednesday, February 27, 2008 to Monday, March 10, 2008. This is the first such extension sought in connection with the Reply.

1 The reason for the extension is minor surgery undergone by one of Rio's
2 lawyers and the press of other business that has occupied Rio's counsel during
3 the initial Reply period. Further extensions of this deadline are not
4 contemplated.

5 Dated: February 21, 2008

6 MORRIS PICKERING & PETERSON

7
8 By: 
9 Steve Morris, No. 1543
10 Kristina Pickering, No. 992
11 900 Bank of America Plaza
12 300 South Fourth Street
13 Las Vegas, NV 89101


14 Attorneys for Plaintiff
15 Rio Properties, Inc.

Dated: February 21, 2008

MILLER BARONDESS, LLP

CHRISTENSEN, GLASER, FINK,
JACOBS, WEIL & SHAPIRO, LLP

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

By: 
John H. Mowbray, No. 4140
300 South Fourth Street
Suite 1400
Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorneys for Defendants
Stewart Annoyances, Ltd. and
Roderick Stewart

ORDER

18 It is so ordered.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: February 22, 2008